DCP 2013:	Part B - General Provisions -	B1: Advertising and Signa	age
DCP Objective	Development Provisions	Proposed	Complies
1 & 2	Signage provisions.	No signage proposed. Applicant has advised that signage will be done as exempt development or subject to a separate application.	N/A
	Part B - General Provisions -	B2: Environmental Manag	gement
DCP Objective	Development Provisions	Proposed	Complies
3	a) Development must comply with Council's Developments, Public Place & Events - Waste Minimisation and Management Policy.	Applicant has provided a suitable sized waste storage area. A private collection arrangement will also be utilised and conditioned, to ensure appropriate management of waste.	Yes
Cut and Fi	II Regrading		
4	a) Development shall not exceed a maximum cut of 1.0m and fill of 1.0m measured vertically above the ground level (existing) at a distance of 1.0m outside the perimeter of the external walls of the building (This does not apply to buildings where such cut and fill is fully retained within or by the external walls of the building).	The site is relatively level, ensuring cut and fill does not exceed 1m.	Yes
5	a) A certified practicing structural engineer must certify any retaining wall greater than 1.0m.	No retaining walls over 1m in height proposed.	N/A
	 b) Where a combination of a fence and a wall is proposed to be greater than 1.2m high: be a maximum combined height of 1.8m above existing property boundary level; be constructed up to the front boundary for a maximum length of 6.0m or 30% of the street frontage, whichever is less; the fence component has openings which make it 	No retaining wall fence combinations proposed that exceed 1.8m. Existing side fencing to be retained.	Yes

			1
	not less than 25%		
	transparent; and		
	- provide a 3m x 3m splay		
	for corner sites, and		
	provide a 900mm x		
	900mm splay for vehicle		
	driveway entrances.		
6	a) Significant land reforming proposals where >10% gross site area or >1.0ha is to have surface levels changed by more than 5m or where earthworks exceed an average of 10,000m3 per ha shall: - identify the impact of the proposed land reforming on the environment, landscape, - visual character and amenity, natural watercourses, riparian vegetation, topographical features of the environment and public infrastructure; - demonstrate compliance with the provisions of Council's AUS-SPEC design specification; - assess the impacts and benefits of the proposal to all impacted persons and the general public; - provide measures to compensate for and minimise any net adverse impacts.	No significant land reforming (as outlined in the DCP) proposed.	Yes
	b) The use of high earthworks batters should be	None proposed.	Yes
	avoided.	Development dass as t	NI/A
	c) Preliminary plans	Development does not	N/A
	indicating the final landform	involve or require a	
	are required to be submitted	masterplan or	
	with any master plan or	subdivision.	
	subdivision application.		N1/A
	d) The subdivision should be	Development does not	N/A
	designed to fit the	involve subdivision.	
	topography rather than		
	altering the topography to fit		
	the subdivision.		
Environme	ental Management Areas and E	Buffers	

7	a) For coastal floodplain endangered ecological communities (EEC) a minimum, fully vegetated buffer of 35m must be	No new development/works are proposed within 35m of an endangered ecological community.	Yes
	b) For Freshwater Wetland on Coastal Floodplain endangered ecological community (EEC) a fully vegetated buffer of 100m is to be provided.	There is mapped freshwater wetland approx. 50m from the development/works. Whilst not 100m, there is existing grass and vegetation between the development and wetland, providing a smaller but acceptable buffer. It is also noted that there are existing buildings/structures to be retained onsite, which are closer to the EEC than the proposed development. This makes it difficult and impractical to comply with the 100m requirement. Furthermore, drainage from the development is directed away from the EEC and no hazardous or impacting use is proposed.	No, but acceptable.
		Based on the above, the development will not impact on the mapped endangered ecological community and is considered acceptable.	
	c) For all other endangered ecological communities, a fully vegetated buffer of 50m must be provided.	Refer to comment on 7(b) above. Existing vegetation and buffer in place.	Yes
	d) Stormwater management facilities may be considered within buffer areas only where the applicant can demonstrate the proposal is justified on the basis of practical engineering related site constraints and where it is adequately demonstrated	Whilst there will be proposed stormwater facilities within the 100m buffer required by 7(b) above, the facilities are being installed to ensure additional stormwater runoff is not channelled directly into the adjoining wetland (ie facilities are	Yes

	that the applicable objectives	being installed to ensure	
	that the applicable objectives are achieved.	an impact does not	
	are acriieved.	occur from excess	
		runoff).	
	e) Fully vegetated buffers	No road or APZ	Yes
	, ,		165
	cannot contain road	proposed within setback	
	infrastructure or an asset	areas.	
	protection zone.		
	f) Where different buffers	Provision noted.	Yes
	(including riparian buffers)		
	apply to an area, the greater		
	of the buffer widths applies.		
8	a) Any habitat/vegetation	No habitat or significant	Yes
	which will be lost as a	vegetation will be lost	
	consequence of development	because of the	
	is to be offset through the	development. Some	
	dedication of suitable land	existing garden planted	
	utilising expert ecological	species will be removed	
	knowledge to determine the	to make way for the	
	impact and offset based on	medical centre building	
	the principle of 'improve and	and car park area.	
	maintain'.	However, these will be	
		replaced by a more	
		uniform and substantial	
		landscape design to	
		complement the new	
		building. Refer to the	
		landscape plan	
		(Attachment C).	
	b) Improvement and	There is no habitat	N/A
	maintenance of existing	onsite to be retained or	
	habitat and corridors and the	offset. Site is an existing	
	consolidation of fragmented	disturbed urban lot(s).	
	bushland are to be		
	considered as the first		
	preference for any		
	development offset.		
	c) A Vegetation Management	There is no	N/A
	Plan (VMP) is to be prepared	environmental land	
	for any environmental land	onsite.	
	that is to be retained or used	3.13.13.	
	to offset development		
	impacts.		
	d) VMPs are required to	VMP not required or	N/A
	address Council's VMP	triggered.	13/7
	"Heads of Consideration"	l inggered.	
9		Wrights Creck is	No, but
ا ع	a) A minimum, fully	Wrights Creek is considered to be a 3 rd	-
	vegetated buffer from the top		acceptable.
	of bank to both sides of a	order stream. It is noted	
	watercourse is to be provided	that there are existing	
	in accordance with the	buildings/structures to	
	following:	be retained onsite,	
	- 10m for 1st order streams	within 50m of the	
	that flow intermittently.	Wrights Creek. This	

	T		1
	- 30m for 1st order streams	makes it difficult and	
	that flow permanently.	impractical to comply	
	 40m for 2nd order 	with the 50m	
	streams.	requirements. Whilst the	
	- 50m for 3rd order	50m buffer is not	
	streams.	achievable, it is	
	- 65m for 4th order	considered that suitable	
	streams.	grass and vegetation will be retained to ensure	
		adequate buffering.	
		Furthermore, direct	
		drainage from the	
		development is	
		proposed to be directed	
		away from Wrights	
		Creek and there are no	
		hazardous or impacting	
		uses associated with the	
		medical centre.	
		Based on the above and	
		existing development	
		onsite, the development	
		will not adversely impact	
		on the adjoining	
	h) Otomoryustania i	waterway/riparian areas.	V
	b) Stormwater management	Whilst there will be	Yes
	facilities may be considered within buffer areas only	proposed stormwater facilities within the 50m	
	where the applicant can	buffer area, the facilities	
	demonstrate the proposal is	are being installed to	
	justified on the basis of	ensure additional	
	practical engineering related	stormwater runoff is not	
	site constraints and where it	channelled directly into	
	is adequately demonstrated	the adjoining Wrights	
	that the applicable objectives	Creek/stream (ie	
	are achieved.	facilities are being	
		installed to ensure an	
		impact does not occur	
	c) Fully vegetated buffers	from excess runoff). No road or APZ	Yes
	cannot contain road	proposed within buffer	163
	infrastructure or an asset	areas.	
	protection zone.		
	gement - Land to which State		Policy SEPP
(vegetatio	n in Non-Rural Areas) 2017 Ap	hiiga	
10	a) Prescribed vegetation for	There is no prescribed	N/A
	the purposes of the SEPP	vegetation listed in	
	(Vegetation in Non-Rural	Table 1 that is proposed	
	Areas) 2017 is any tree	to be removed onsite.	
	identified in Table 1 or is a	Manadati I	
	mangrove or cycad and is:	Vegetation being	
		removed consists of	

Tree Mana	 3 metres or higher in height, or has a trunk diameter of 100mm measured at 1.0metre above ground level; or a hollow bearing tree b) The above criteria does not apply to a tree where the nearside trunk is 3 metres from the nearest external wall of an existing, permanent dwelling or manufactured home and is located within the same property. Such trees may be removed without a permit or development consent. This Provision does not apply to areas mapped as Core Koala Habitat under the LEP. A permit will be required in these instances. gement – Private Land 	existing garden planted species. However, these will be replaced by a more uniform and substantial landscape design to complement the new building. Refer to the landscape plan (Attachment C). Clause does not apply as 10(a) above not triggered.	N/A
11	a) Pruning must be undertaken in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4373 - Pruning of Amenity Trees.	There is no prescribed vegetation listed in Table 1 that is proposed to be removed/pruned onsite.	N/A
	b) An application for the removal of a tree listed in Table 1 must be accompanied by an Arborist's report stating that the tree: - is dangerous; or - is dying and remedial pruning would not improve the deteriorated condition of the tree; or - has a history of branch fall (documented or photographic evidence to be provided); or - is structurally unsound or; - diseased Advice on the requirement of an arborist report associated with a tree removal permit can	There is no prescribed vegetation listed in Table 1 that is proposed to be removed onsite.	N/A

1			
	be obtained from Council's Tree Assessment staff. The requirement for an arborist report for tree removal associated with a development application will be determined on merit by Council's Development Assessment.		
	c) Where a tree listed in Table 1 is approved for removal it must be compensated with 2 x koala habitat trees. Significant large-scale development will require an advanced size koala food tree or habitat tree (primary Koala browse species) that meets AS2303:2015 Tree Stock for Landscape Use. The compensation tree is to be planted in a suitable location as determined by the Director of Development and Environment or their delegate.	There is no prescribed vegetation listed in Table 1 that is proposed to be removed onsite. Replacement tree requirement not triggered.	N/A
	d) Removal of dead branches including palm fronts and the selective removal of branches up to and including a diameter of 50mm may be undertaken without a permit or development consent where the removal: - Does not alter the canopy of the tree, and - Does not destroy the aesthetic appearance of the tree canopy; and - Does not alter the growth structure of the tree, and - Is carried out in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4373 - Pruning of Amenity Trees.	There is no prescribed vegetation listed in Table 1 that is proposed to be removed/pruned onsite. Vegetation being removed consists of existing garden planted species. However, these will be replaced by a more uniform and substantial landscape design to complement the new building. Refer to the landscape plan (Attachment C).	N/A
	e) The pruning of large garden shrubs in excess of 3 metres in height for the purpose of ornamental shaping is permitted without	There is no ornamental shaping of existing vegetation proposed.	N/A

1	., , , , ,		
	a permit or development consent.		
f F C r V k	f) Where a development is proposed adjoining Council controlled land, the plans must identify all trees that fall within 6.0m of the property boundary and any trees proposed to be removed, identified on that plan.	No trees on controlled land to be removed.	N/A
k V r	g) Any pruning or removal of any tree on private land must be undertaken in accordance with Council's tree management specifications.	Tree removal process to be carried out by a suitably qualified Arborist to ensure compliance with the Council specifications.	Yes
k 2 (r	h) A tree removal permit can be sought for tree removal associated with a Complying Development Certificate (CDC), subject to the tree removal meeting the following criteria: Must be associated with CDC and removal must not occur until CDC issued. Application must identify and locate all trees within proximity to the development. No more than 3 trees over 6m in height to be removed. Trees taken to be impacted on by the development are to be determined in accordance with AS 4970. Protection of trees on development sites (i.e 12 x DBH tree protection zone required for those trees to be retained). Must not involve removal of hollow bearing trees. The removal of any koala browse tree species are to be replaced at a ratio of 2:1 on site or at a secure off site location agreed to by Council. Any on site replanting is to have regard for services	The development is not a CDC.	N/A

	and buildings and is to be		
Tree Ma	agreed to by Council. Inagement – Public Land		
12	a) Trees on public land shall not be pruned or removed unless: - Written consent is provided by Council; and - They are dead, dying, diseased or dangerous, or - They are causing damage to infrastructure on public land, or - They are impacting on pedestrian or traffic conditions; or - They are interfering with services on private property; or - They impact on the outlook from historic sites or significant public viewing areas, or - The growth habit or mature size of the tree is undesirable in a particular situation, as determined by the General Manager or his delegates; or	There are two (2) small pine trees located within the Lake Road reserve. One of the trees is likely to be removed in order to create the new driveway entry. The trees are noted as lacking any natural shape as a result of pruning for powerline clearances. Whilst there are other pine trees located within the Lake Road reserve, they are sporadic and do not have consistent shape/size to create a streetscape theme. Therefore, the potential loss/replacement of one tree will create no adverse impact on streetscape. A standard condition regarding protection and/or replacement planting will be applied to the conditions of consent. Overall, consent to remove/replace the tree is supported by this assessment.	Yes
	b) The trees require removal to fulfil the requirements of section 100C of the Rural Fires Act 1997, as determined by the General Manager or his delegates.	No tree removal required to fulfill Section 100C.	N/A
	c) Where a tree removal on public land is approved, the removal is to be supervised by the Director of Infrastructure Services or their delegate and undertaken in accordance with Council's tree management specifications.	Conditions of consent and the s138 will cover tree removal/replacement process.	Yes
	d) A tree removed on public land is to be replaced by an	Refer to comment on 12(a).	Yes

	T	T
approved species in a suitable location as		
determined by the Director of		
Infrastructure Services or his		
delegate.		
e) Council will not consider	The tree removal is not	Yes
the pruning or removal of	being done to obtain a	100
trees where the intent is to	view.	
enhance the views of or from		
private property.		
f) Adhoc planting of trees or	Refer to comment on	Yes
other vegetation within the	12(a).	
road reserve (including public		
footpaths) is not permitted.		
Any planting that occurs in		
this manner will be removed		
and the road reserve restored at no cost to the		
Council.		
g) Council may consider	Not relevant in this case.	N/A
permitting planting on public	140t folovant in this case.	IN//T
land by an Incorporated		
Community Group where		
accompanied by a detailed		
report.		
h) Council has no statuary	Not relevant in this case.	N/A
obligation or onus to treat		
termites, however where a		
tree on public land is affected		
by termites, Council may grant permission for adjoining		
landowners to enter upon		
public land to treat termites		
where treatment does not		
include the destroying,		
pruning or removal of trees		
on public land.		
i) Any pruning, removal or	Conditions of consent	Yes
treatment of any tree on	and the s138 will cover	
public land must be	tree	
undertaken in accordance	removal/replacement	
with Council's tree	process. It is noted that	
management specifications.	the pine trees are small	
	in stature and unlikely to create any impacts on	
	the public domain.	
j) Council, or contractors	Not relevant in this case.	N/A
working on behalf of Council		
are exempt from requiring an		
approval to remove or kill		
non-native or non-indigenous		
native trees from public		
bushland reserves.		
Tree Management - Hollow Bearing Tree	s	

13 & 14	Hollow bearing tree	There are no hollow	N/A
	provisions.	bearing trees onsite.	

DCP Objective	Development Provisions	Proposed	Complies
Airspace P	Protection		
15	a) Development shall not result in land use or activities that attract flying vertebrates such as birds and bats within proximity of flight paths associated with airport operations.	Development is not located within the flight path of the Port Macquarie Airport or have any component that attracts flying vertebrate.	N/A
16	a) Development shall not result in emission of airborne particulate or produce a gaseous plume with a velocity exceeding 4.3m per second that penetrates operational airspace. Refer Manual of Standards Part 139 – Aerodromes, Civil Aviation Safety Authority.	Development is not located within the flight path of the Port Macquarie Airport or have any component that will create an airborne plume.	N/A
17	a) Lighting to comply with Section 9.21 of the Manual of Standards Part 139 – Aerodromes, Civil Aviation Safety Authority.	Development is not located within the flight path of the Port Macquarie Airport or have any significant lighting.	N/A
Bushfire H	azard Management		
18	a) APZs are to be located outside of environmental protection zones and wholly provided within private land. Note perimeter roads provided as part of a residential subdivision are classified as being part of the subdivision and not a separate permissible land use within environment protection zones.	There are no APZs required or proposed within environmental protection zones. In the unlikely event a fire was to start in Wrights Creek, suitable cleared areas exist onsite to provide protection to the development.	Yes
	b) Perimeter roads are to be provided to all urban areas adjoining environmental management areas and their buffers. Refer to Figure 2.	An existing informal perimeter road is located within the Wrights Creek reserve.	Yes

19 Lake Cath	a) Development must comply with Council's Floodplain Management Plan and Flood Policies. ie Coastal Hazard Management	Refer to comments on flooding in the LEP 2011 section of this report.	Yes
20-21	Lake Cathie Coastal Hazards provisions.	Not relevant to Port Macquarie.	N/A

DCP Objective	Development Provisions	Proposed	Complies
Road Hier	archy		1
22	a) In new areas (as distinct from established areas with a pre-existing road pattern) each class of route should reflect its role in the road hierarchy by its visual appearance and related physical design standards, including varying levels of vehicle and pedestrian access.	No change to road hierarchy proposed or required. Provision more relevant to applications where new roads are created.	Yes
	b) Routes should differ in alignment and design standard according to the volume and type of traffic they are intended to carry, the desirable traffic speed, and other factors.	No change to road hierarchy proposed or required. Provision more relevant to applications where new roads are created.	Yes
	c) All new roads are designed in accordance with Council's AUS-SPEC design specification documents.	No change to road hierarchy proposed or required. Provision more relevant to applications where new roads are created.	Yes
23	a) New direct accesses from a development to arterial and distributor roads is not permitted. Routes should differ in alignment and design standard according to the volume and type of traffic they are intended to carry, the desirable traffic speed, and other factors.	The three (3) existing driveways to Lake Road will be consolidated into one (1) new entry only driveway. There is also an existing dual entry/exit driveway off Gray Street, which will be retained, albeit shifted slightly to the east, away from the intersection. Whilst the consolidated entry on Lake Road will be a new access point to	Yes

	b) Existing direct accesses from a development to arterial and distributor roads are rationalised or removed where practical.	a significant road, it is an improved situation on the current status quo. The access arrangements have also been presented in a Traffic Report and reviewed/supported by Council's Engineering staff. Refer to comment on 23(a) above.	Yes
	c) Vehicle driveway crossings are minimal in number and width (while being adequate for the nature of the development), and positioned: - to avoid driveways near intersections and road bends, and - to minimise streetscapes dominated by driveways and garage doors, and - to maximise on-street parking.	Refer to comment on 23(a)	Yes
Parking Pr	rovision		
24	a) Off-street Parking is provided in accordance with Table 3. Medical centres require 3 spaces per health care consultant/doctor and 1 space per 2 employees.	The applicant has nominated that the medical centre will initially comprise 8 health care consultants and 22 employees. Such an arrangement generates the need for 35 spaces. The application proposes a carpark that comprise 58 spaces (includes 2 disabled spaces), 5 drop off spaces, 3 motorcycle spaces and 12 bicycle spaces. As a side note, Council acknowledges that consultant/staff numbers can change over time. As a result,	Yes

		a condition is proposed to manage consultant/staff numbers relevant to the number of parking spaces onsite. In particular, consultant numbers could increase, provided the revised parking numbers do not exceed the parking spaces provided onsite, when using the rates in the DCP.	
	b) Where a proposed development does not fall within any of the listed definitions, the provision of onsite parking shall be supported by a parking demand study.	Medical centre rate exists in the DCP.	N/A
	c) Where a proposed development falls within more than one category Council will require the total parking provision for each category.	Only a medical centre use/category proposed. Parking has been provided based on the medical centre rates in the DCP.	N/A
25	a) A development proposal to alter, enlarge, convert or redevelop an existing building, whether or not demolition is involved, shall provide the total number of parking spaces calculated from the schedule for the proposed use, subject to a credit for any existing deficiency, including any contributions previously accepted in lieu of parking provision.	Whilst the application proposes to redevelop the site, compliant parking has been provided based on the new use without factoring in any past credit.	Yes
26	a) On street parking, for the purposes of car parking calculations will not be included unless it can be demonstrated that: there is adequate on street space to accommodate peak and acute parking demands of the area; parking can be provided without compromising road safety or garbage collection accessibility;	On street parking is not relied upon for parking numbers/compliance.	N/A

	- parking can be provided		
	 parking can be provided without jeopardising road function; and that streetscape improvement works, such as landscaped bays and street trees are provided to contribute to the 		
	streetscape.		
	b) On street parking is provided in accordance with AS2890.5.	No on street parking proposed or required.	N/A
27	 a) On street parking will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that: parking does not detract from the streetscape; and that streetscape improvement works, such as landscaped bays and street trees are provided. 	No on street parking proposed or required.	N/A
Parking La	ayout		
28	a) Visitor and customer parking shall be located so that it is easily accessible from the street.	Parking area is identifiable and easily accessible from the street.	Yes
	b) Internal signage (including pavement markings) should assist customers and visitors to find parking and circulate efficiently and safely through a car park.	Standard signage and line marking is proposed to help customers/visitors throughout the car park.	Yes
	c) Parking spaces shall generally be behind the building line but may be located between the building line and the street when: - it is stacked parking in the driveway; or - it can be demonstrated that improvements to the open space provided will result; and - the spaces are screened (densely landscaped or similar) from the street by a landscaping with a minimum width of 3.0m for the entire length of the parking area.	Whilst the parking area is located forward of the building, the design is consistent with other medical centres found along Lake Road. Furthermore, a suitable landscape plan has been provided, which creates an attractive, useable space. In particular, the mixture of species and the corner treatment will help screen the parking area, whilst still allowing the space to be identifiable and accessible to customers/visitors -	No, but acceptable.

		consistant with the	
		consistent with the objectives of the DCP.	
	d) Parking design and layout is provided in accordance with AS/NZS 2890.1 - Parking facilities - Off-street car parking and AS 2890.6 - Off-street parking for individuals with a disability and AS/NZS 2890.2 - Parking facilities - Off-street commercial vehicle facilities.	The parking design and layout is capable of compliance with the relevant standards.	Yes
	e) Stack or tandem parking spaces will not be included in assessment of parking provision except where: - the spaces are surplus to that required; - in motor showrooms; - for home business; - for exhibition homes; - in car repair stations; - staff parking spaces are separately identified and delineated; - it is visitor parking associated with a dual occupancy multi dwelling and/or terrace housing, directly in front of the garage with a minimum depth of 5.5m.	No stack or tandem parking proposed.	N/A
29	a) Parking is provided in accordance with AS/NZS 2890.1 - Parking facilities - Offstreet car parking, AS/NZS 2890.2 - Parking facilities - Offstreet commercial vehicle facilities, AS 1428 - Design for access and mobility and AS 2890.6 - Off-street parking for individuals with a disability.	The parking design and layout is capable of compliance with the relevant standards.	Yes
30	a) Bicycle and motorcycle parking shall be considered for all developments.	A suitable amount of compliant bicycle and motorcycle parking has been provided. There is also an excess of car parking spaces, allowing for future conversion, if required.	Yes
	b) Bicycle parking areas shall be designed generally in accordance with the principles	A suitable amount of compliant bicycle and	Yes

	of AS2890.3 - Parking facilities	motorcycle parking	
	- Bicycle parking facilities.	has been provided.	
	c) Motorcycle parking areas	Compliant motorcycle	Yes
	shall be 1.2m (wide) x 2.5m	parking has been	
	(long).	provided.	
Redeve	lopment of Heritage Items - Conse	rvation Incentives	
31	a) Council will consider	The site does not	N/A
	discounting (i.e. exclude from	contain a heritage	
	calculations) the floor space of	item or site.	
	the heritage building/item when		
	determining the total number of		
	parking spaces to be provided		
	on site. This will be considered		
	in line with clause 5.10 of PMH		
	LEP 2011, which requires the		
	variation to be considered in		
	the context of a heritage		
	conservation management		
	plan. This will only apply if		
	Council is satisfied that the		
	conservation of the heritage		
	item is dependent upon Council		
	making that exclusion. If		
	applicants intend to seek such consideration, a detailed		
	consideration, a detailed		
	parking analysis of the site is to		
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the		
Section	parking analysis of the site is to		
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions	The site is not leasted	I NI/A
Section 32	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the	The site is not located	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and	in a Section 7.11	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to	in a Section 7.11	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development.	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie,	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie, Gordon Street, Laurieton, North	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie, Gordon Street, Laurieton, North Haven and Wauchope, as	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie, Gordon Street, Laurieton, North Haven and Wauchope, as identified in Council's	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie, Gordon Street, Laurieton, North Haven and Wauchope, as identified in Council's Contribution Plan 1993, as	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A
	parking analysis of the site is to be submitted with the development application. 7.11 Development Contributions a) Section 7.11 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 permits Council, at its discretion, to accept a monetary contribution in lieu of on-site parking where it is considered impractical or undesirable to provide parking facilities on the site of the proposed development. Generally, contributions will not be accepted for the total amount of parking to be provided and will only be accepted in the commercial areas of Port Macquarie, Gordon Street, Laurieton, North Haven and Wauchope, as identified in Council's	in a Section 7.11 parking contribution	N/A

			1
	contribution rate for each area. Applicants are advised to		
	consult Council's staff at the		
	time of preparing the DA		
	application should a		
	contribution for parking be		
Landasani	proposed.		
Landscapi	ng of Parking Areas		
33	a) Landscaping areas shall be	A landscape plan has	Yes
	provided in the form of large	provided detailing an	
	tree planting, understorey plantings, mulch areas,	acceptable level of landscaping	
	mounding, lawns and the like	throughout the car	
	mountaing, lawns and the like	park.	
	b) Landscaping areas shall be	A landscape plan has	Yes
	used throughout the car park	provided detailing an	
	and on the perimeters of the	acceptable level of	
	property where it addresses the	landscaping	
	public domain.	throughout the car park and perimeter.	
	c) Garden beds shall be a	The garden beds vary	No, but
	minimum of 3m in width	from 1m to 3m+. The	acceptable.
	between car parking areas and	design is considered	
	street boundaries.	to provide a good	
		attractive balance of	
		screening and car	
		park identification - consistent with the	
		objectives of the DCP.	
34	a) All plantings on public lands	Any replacement	Yes
	are to be selected from	street tree species will	
	Council's Indigenous Street	need to be accepted	
	and Open Space Planting List	by Council and	
	from the relevant vegetation community adjacent to the	process will be covered by conditions	
	Development.	of consent.	
	b) Trees are to be grown and	Any replacement	Yes
	installed in accordance with AS	street tree species will	
	2303:2015 Tree Stock for	need to be accepted	
	Landscape Use and Council's	by Council and	
	AUS-SPEC design	process will be	
	specifications.	covered by conditions of consent.	
	Surface Finishes		
35	a) All parking and manoeuvring	Car parking surface	Yes
	areas shall be constructed with	will comprise bitumen,	
	a coarse base of sufficient	concrete or the like.	
	depth to suit the amount of	To be reinforced via	
	traffic generated by the	conditions of consent.	
	development, as determined by		
	Council. It shall be sealed with		
	either bitumen, asphaltic		

	concrete, concrete or interlocking pavers. Preliminary details of construction materials for access and car parking areas shall be submitted with the development application. Detailed plans shall be prepared for the construction certificate by a practising		
	qualified Civil Engineer. b) In special cases (e.g. where traffic volumes are very low) Council may consider the use of consolidated unsealed gravel pavement for car parks. However, this should not be assumed and will need to be justified by the applicant at the Development Application stage.	Not relevant. Sealed surface required/conditioned.	N/A
	Drainage		
36	a) All parking and manoeuvring spaces must be designed to avoid concentrations of water runoff on the surface.	A stormwater design has been submitted and accepted by Council's Stormwater Engineer.	Yes
	b) Council will not permit the discharge of stormwater directly into kerbing and guttering or table drains for any development other than that of a minor nature.	A stormwater design has been submitted and accepted by Council's Stormwater Engineer.	Yes
37	a) Car parking areas should be drained to swales, bio retention, rain gardens and infiltration areas.	A stormwater design has been submitted and accepted by Council's Stormwater Engineer.	Yes
	Loading Bays		
38	a) Off street commercial vehicle facilities are provided in accordance with AS/NZS 2890.2 - Parking facilities - Off-street commercial vehicle facilities.	Deliveries to and from the site are expected to occur via cars, utes, vans and the occasional small truck. The site provides a drop off area and excess parking to accommodate loading requirements from such vehicles without creating significant	Yes

	disturbance to the car	
	park.	
	The car park and delivery situation has also been reviewed and accepted by Council's Engineering Section.	
 b) Loading bays should be provided in accordance with the following requirements; Minimum dimensions to be 3.5m wide x 6m long. (This may increase according to the size and type of vehicle). Vertical clearance shall be a minimum of 5m. Adequate provision shall be made on-site for the loading, unloading and manoeuvring of delivery vehicles in an area separate from any customer car parking area. A limited number of 'employee only' car parking spaces may be combined with loading facilities. Loading areas shall be designed to accommodate appropriate turning paths for the maximum design vehicle using the site. Vehicles are to be capable of manoeuvring in and out of docks without causing conflict with other street or on-site traffic. Vehicles are to stand wholly within the site during such operations. 	Refer to comment on 38(a). Suitable areas exist onsite for loading and unloading associated with the development.	Yes
c) Industrial development shall provide adequate heavy vehicle access to building entries, or alternatively, external bays located appropriately for goods	Development is not an industrial use.	N/A
distribution. d) For external bays, one bay is required for 500m² of floor space or 1000m² of site area.	Refer to comment on 38(a). Suitable areas exist onsite for loading and unloading	Yes

	T		
		associated with the development.	
	e) Commercial development having a floor space less than 500m² need not provide a loading bay.	Development is not a commercial use.	N/A
	f) Other commercial development shall provide one loading bay for the first 1,000m² floor space and one additional bay for each additional 2,000m².	Development is not a commercial use.	N/A
	g) If parcel pickup facilities are provided on-site they shall be located so as to avoid conflict with general traffic flow within parking areas. Parcel pickup lanes shall be separate from through traffic lanes in major shopping developments.	Development does not provide or require a parcel pick up area.	N/A
39	a) The location and design of loading bays should integrate into the overall design of the building and car parking areas.	Refer to comment on 38(a). Suitable areas exist onsite for loading and unloading associated with the development. Loading and unloading would most likely occur near the drop off area, close to the entry of the medical centre.	Yes
	b) Where visible from the public domain, loading bays are located behind the building.	Loading and unloading would most likely occur near the drop off area, close to the entry of the medical centre and behind the building line to Lake Road.	Yes
		Garbage collection would occur near the garbage storage area with conditions of consent to manage collection times and ensure minimal disturbance to the centre or neighbours. In particular, conditions will be used to ensure garbage collection occurs after	

	c) Where loading bays are located close to a sensitive land use, adequate visual and acoustic screening is provided.	early amenity of neighbours) and before 8:30am (avoids impacting on when the centre opens), Monday to Fridays. The car park/potential delivery areas are either well setback from neighbours or are screened via existing fencing and proposed vegetation. Conditions of consent will also require deliveries occur during reasonable hours, to ensure no adverse impact on neighbouring housing/sensitive receivers.	Yes
10	_		21/0
40	Industrial driveway and carpark requirements.	Development is not an industrial use.	N/A
Traffic Ger	nerating Development	•	
41	a) Traffic Generating Development as defined under SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 is referred to Roads and Maritime Services. (Refer to Clause 104 and Schedule 3 of the SEPP).	Development is not traffic generating development. Refer to the comments on the updated SEPP in the main assessment report.	N/A

DCP 2013: Part B - General Provisions - B5: Social Impact Assessment and Crime Prevention				
DCP Objective	Development Provisions	Proposed	Complies	
Social Impact Assessment				
42	a) A social impact assessment shall be submitted in accordance with the Council's Social Impact Assessment Policy.	The development triggers the need for a social impact comment under the Policy. The applicant has provided a comment on social impact in the application. Overall, the development will have a positive benefit by providing ongoing employment of local health care professionals and staff as well as providing medical services to the local community, in a more appropriate scaled building	Yes	
Crime Prev	vention			
43	a) The development addresses the generic principles of crime prevention: - Casual surveillance and sightlines; - Land use mix and activity generators; - Definition of use and ownership; - Basic exterior building design; - Lighting; - Way-finding; and - Predictable routes and entrapment locations; - as described in the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles.	The proposed development will be unlikely to create any adverse concealment/entrapment areas or crime spots that would result in any identifiable loss of safety or reduction of security in the immediate area. There are some minor concealment areas created by the internal garden area and some gaps/indentations within the building. However, the development will also provide a level of natural surveillance over these areas and the locality via openings, staff onsite etc. Lighting and CCTV can also be installed retrospectively by the owner, should they wish	Yes	

	to have further security	
	in the future.	